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(Text-figure 1.)

## Genus Aenocalamus Gelir.

The discovery of a new form of Tenocalamus, described below, and the examination of the skull of $I$. bicolor (ithr. and of X. transralensis, sp. n., calls for at revision of the characters of this genus, for which I propose the following diagnosis:-

Maxillary short, with 4 or 5 solid teeth of mollerate size gradually increasing in length posteriorly, followed, after a short interspace, loy a pair of somewhat enlarged groovel fangs situated below the posterior half of the eye; palatines toothless or bearing a few small teeth; lower jaw with 7 to 9 rather small teeth on each side, those in the midille of the row the largest. Postfrontal bone fused with parietal. Quadrate showing tendency to enlargement and to having direct attachment to the skull, at the expense of the squamosal which may be rery much reduced. Basioccipitals reniform in profile. Posterior vertebre withont hrmal processes.

Head small and elongate, not distinct from neck; snout much depressed, rery promineat; rostral large, with oltuse horizontal edge, flat or excavate below; eye minute, with round pupil; nostril between a large posterior and a small anterior scale, or in a single shield which may show incipient signs of similar division. A large preocular ; no loreal ; no prefrontals (fuserl with frontal); no anterior temporal.

Body cylindrical ; tail short ; scales smooth withont pits, in 17 or 21 rows; ventrals romded; subcaudals in 2 rows.

Tropical Africa, as far south as the Transmal.

## synopsis of the s'pecies of Xenocalams.

I. Palatine without teeth ; rostral flat below.
A. Scales in 17 rows ; masal divided; 6 upper labials, third and fourth entering the ere.

1. A narow supraocular ; ventrals 218 , subeaudals 24 to 36 ....................................................... bicolor Gthr.*
2. No smpraocular ; ventrals 229 to 239 ; sullcandals 31 to 36
T. mechovii Pet.
B. Scales in 21 rows; nasal entice; 5 upper lablials, second and third entering the eye ; ventrals 257 , subcaudals 27
-I. michelli Müll.

[^0]11. Palatine bearing 3 or 4 small teeth; rostral excarate below; nasal entire with incipient signs of division; 5 upper labials, second and third entering eye: scales in 17 rows; ventrals 195, subcaudals 31 . T. tronsmactensis, sp. n.

## Xexocalames transvaalensis, sp. in.

Description.-Maxillary bone short, with 4 solid teeth of moderate size, the posterior ones the largest, and followed after a short interspace by a pair of enlarged groored fangs sitnated below the posterior corner of the orbit. Palatine bearing 3 or 4 small teeth. Lower jaw with about 9 rather small teeth on each side, those in the middle of the row the largest. Quadrate large, attacherl direetly to the skull in its anterior half; squamosal very much reduced, that part which is visible being only two-thirds the length of the quadrate (vide text-fig. 1).*


Posterior part of skulls seen in profile:
(A) Tenocalamus bicolor. (B) I. transvaalensis.

Head as broad as neck ; snout depressed, prominent but not as much so as in $X$. hicolor; rostral large, rather acutely romded, with rounded horizontal edge, excavate below, in contact with the nasal. Nostril pierced in a single scute which abuts on the rostral (the division of the rostral shield into a rery small and a large seale as in $X$. bicolor is suggested hy incipient sutures; in X. bicolor the sutures are distinct). Internasals large, forming a median suture, separated from the first upper labial by the rostral. 'The large preocular forms a suture with the rostral and the internasal in front. Suinaocular and postocular seales minute. Frontal very large, roumled in front, more or less heartshaped, a little more than half as long as the distance from the tip of the rostral to the posterior limit of the frontal (actual measmements being $5 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}$. : $9 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~mm}$.). Parietals elongate, forming a Iong suture, not quite so long as the frontal. Fire upper labials; the first and fifth rery small, the fourth enormons, the second and thind entering the eye; the thind forms a short suture with the postocular. Six lower labials, third very large, first and

[^1]seconl very small ; the first pair of lower labials forms a merlian sutme. A single pair of rather small chin-shields, which form sutmes with the first, second, and third lower labials.

Ventral scales 195, romnder ; anal divided; 31 paiss of subeandals.

Bo ly cylindrical, slightly depressed.
Blne-black above, below white with dark brown transverse markings on the rentral scales; throat, lower jaw, and upper lip nearly entirely white.

Total length 414 mm , of which the tail measures 44 mm .
A burowing suake fonm in sandy soil, nowth of the Zoutpransbergen, Northern 'Inanswat, near the Ingelel River, within 25 miles of the Limpopo : collected by Messrs. Noomé and Roberts, in September, 1913: it was observed to be sluggish in its movements.

Type in the Transvaal Musemm, Cat. Rept. No. 1689.

## BATRACHIA.

In 1913 Mr . Hewitt and I published an accomnt of a collection of Brtmchia made in Marlagasear (2): I have since been able to compare many of the specimens referred to in this paper with material in Emropean Museums, and especially with that in the British Musemm. I have also been able to prodit by the criticisms of Mr. G. A. Bonlenger on several specimens I submitted to him. 1 gladly avail myself of this opportmity for thanking him for his adrice on many occasions, and among other things for suggesting the names of the two new genera described below, and for pointing ont their affinities to the genus Mantidactylus.

## Gepirtomantis, gen. nov.

Vomerine teeth present; digits with supermmerary phalanx; terminal phalanges dilated at end, the dilatation with shallow notch distally, reniform : lower surface of digits with ring-shaped groore: onter metatassals mited; style of stermum and onosternim long, slender, and bony ; pupil horizontal ; tongue well developed, bifirl behind.

Gepliyromantis bothengert, sp. 11 .
Description.--Hearl longer than broad; snont subacmminate : nostril a little nearer tip of nostril than eye; loreal region deeply concave ; canthus rostralis curved ; diameter of eye very nearly equals the distance from eye to tip of snout. Interorbital space equals brearlth of upper eyelid. Tympanmu distinct, $\frac{1}{2}$ the diameter of eye. Fold over tympaum swollen anteriorly and posteriolly. Vomerine teeth as in Mantidactylus granulatus. Fingers moderate, first a little shorter than second, considerably shorter than fom th, their tips expanded into dises which are large on the thind and fomth fingers, being about donble the breadth of the penultimate joint: subarticular tubercles of digits amd metacapus prominent. Toes monlerate, $\frac{1}{1}$ weblerl, their tips
expanded into dises of moderate size, not quite as large as those on third and fourth fingers; a sliced pear-shaped inner metatarsal tubercle, harely $\frac{1}{2}$ length of first toe; a small outer metatarsal tubercle.

The tibio-tarsal joint of the adpressed leg reaches a point between the eye and the nostril. Heels strongly overlapping. Length of tibia $\frac{1}{2}$ the distance from swout to vent, about $3 \frac{1}{2}$ times as long as broad.

Upper surfaces of head, borly, and limbs very granular with numerous warts and elongated tubercles, which on the head and back form an irregular pattern. Belly, sides, and inner parts of thighs granular.

Habit like the Mr. gramulatus section of the genus Mfontidactylus (1).

Colour: Above dark bluish-brown with irregular lighter markings more in evidence on the head than elsewhere; upper lip, loreal region, throat, and chest mottled with dirty white and dark brown ; an irregular median dirty white line on throat and chest. Upper surfaces of limbs same colour as back, the lighter brown colour of the imner parts being carried across the upper surfaces as thin irregular transverse bars.

Length from snout to vent 27.50 mm . ; length of outstretched hind limb from vent to tip of fourth toe 44 mm .

Size of eggs in oviduct 2.75 mm . in diameter.
Type a female, T. M. Cat. Rept. No. 1013, and cotype No. 1012, in the Transvaal Museum : origin, Folohy, East Madagascar, 1911.

In 1913 Mr . John Hewitt and I placed these two speci-mens-for lack of comparative material-pro tem. in the genus Mantiductylus, the genus to which this new form is most nearly related (2).

## Trachimantis, gen. nov.

Hemimantis: H. horridu Bttgr. Zool. Anz. 1880, p. 282 ; Abhand. d. Senck. Gesell., B. xii. p. 492, Taf. iii. fig. 14, 1881.

Arthroleptis: A. horridus Bouleng. Cat. Bat. p. 118.
Microphryne Methn. \& Hwtt. (2) p. 55, preoccupied.
The ouly character I have to add to our original diagnosis concerns the dises of the digits, as in Mantidactylus and Gephyromantis the lower surface of the digits las the characteristic ring-slaped groove. This character in Mantidactylus was pointed out by Mr. Boulenger (1) when he made the genus Aglyptodactylus for Mantidactylus madagascariensis. I must also correct what was our impression at the time, that Trachymantis was related to Rhacophorus; Gephyromantis, which is very closely related to Mcurtiductylus and may even be a comparatively recent offshoot from a $M$. granulatus-like form, leads naturally to Trachymantis ; the relationship can be shown thus :-

Mantidactylus : vomerine teeth ; outer metatarsals separated. Gephypomantis : vomerine teeth; outer metatarsals united.
Trachymant is: no vomerine teeth; onter metatarsals united.

In 1913 (2) we pointed out that we strongly suspected Ronce labrosa to be the only truly endemic Ranid in Madagascar which was not supplied with the supermumerary phalanx to the digits; and that Böttger's "Artholeptis (Hemimantis) horridus" belonged to the same genus as our "Irachymantis (Microphryme) malagasia." In 1914 I had an opportmity of examining Böttger's type at Frankfort with Dr., Sternfeld : we were both of opinion that Arthroleptis horridus should be referred to om genus, and that the two species homida and malagasia were very closely allied. This fully confirmed our presumption as to Madagascar Ranids*.

Besides the differences cited in the two original descriptions, the following distinctions were noticed : in T. malagasia the snont is slightly longer as compared with the diameter of the eye and more pointed than in $T$. horride; in the latter species the dises of the digits are larger than in T. malagasia. The tympanum in $T$. horrida is more visible and a shade larger than in the other species. The femurs in $T$. horvida are glandular, but lack the hnge glands of $T$. malagasia which we suggested might be an abnormal development. In Bötger's species the granules on the ventral surface end in a sharp point; they might almost be described as small pointed tubercles: in our species they are replaced by swollen grannles of larger size.

## Mantidactileds argenteus, sp. n .

This species falls into the group with large discs to the fingers, and with granular belly (1): nearest ally seems to be $M$. granulatus: the upper surfaces have however no asperities.

Description.-Head flat and depressed, longer than broad; snont subacuminate, practically pointed, strongly projecting beyond the mouth; nostril considerably nearer tip of snout than eye ; distance from eye to nostril $\frac{4}{5}$ diameter of eye. Tympanum distinct, $\frac{3}{4}$ diameter of eye. Interorbital space a fraction greater than breadth of upper eyelid, equal to diameter of tympanum. Loreal region concave; canthus rostralis lightly curved ; fold over tympanum feebly developed. Exposed part of vomers bearing the teeth not so prominent as in M. granulatus, with large median space between them. Fingers well developed, their tips expanded into dises about, or a fraction more than, double the breadth of the penultimate joint; on the first finger, which is much shorter than the second, the disc is smaller than this. 'Toes moderate, their tips expanded into discs which are much smaller than those of the hand, being barely $\frac{1}{2}$ their diameter. 'Loes $5_{5}^{3}$ webbed. Inner metatarsal tubercle rather small, not prominent.

Tibio-tarsal joint of adpressed leg reaches the nostril or just short of it. Heels strongly overlapping. Distance from snout to vent $1 \frac{3}{4}$ times as long as tibia, which is 5 times as long as broad.

[^2]Dorsal surfaces without asperities, but with very fine reticulations ; some glandular granulations in coccygeal region. Belly, sides, inside of thighs, and romul vent, granular.

Colour: Above brownish-purple or vinous flecked with dirty white; limbs same colour with light narow transverse bars. Sides marbled with brown and silver; tympanum dirty white Heckerl with silver; lower half of eye, loreal region, snout and lips, dirty white ; throat and chest silver white, yellower on chest, becoming dirty white on belly and thighs.

Length from snout to vent 32 mm .; length of leg from vent to tip of fourth toe 52 mm .

Size of egg in oviduct 2.5 mm . in cliameter.
Type a female, T'. M. Cat. Rept. No. 1009 ; cotype a juvenile specimen, toes $\frac{2}{3}$ webbed, tympanum $\frac{2}{5}$ diameter of eye, tibiotarsal joint of alpressed leg reaching tip of snout, No. 1035 ; both in the I'musvaal Musemm : origin, Folohy, Eitst Madagascar, 1911.

Plethodontohila tuberifera, sp. n.
P. notosticta Gthr., Mthn. \& Hwtt. (2) p. 60.

Under the name $P$. notosticta, Mr. Hewitt and I provisionally identified seven examples of this genus, indicating at the same time that our specimens were by no means typical (l.c.). I have since compared ours with the examples of this genus in the British Museum and find that our specimens represent a new form. Its distinguishing chanacters are as follows:-Head flat and depressed ; loreal region very oblique; no cauthus rostralis; a rounded or more or less pointed snout projecting only slightly beyond the month: dises of digits larger than in any other known species of the genns; on each side in the sacral region a small prominent tubercle. In the natural amangement of the genus, $P$. inguinalis appears to be intermediate between the new species and l'. notosticta.

Description.-Head $\frac{3}{4}$ as long as broad, flat and depressed; snout rounded or more or less pointed, extending only slightly beyond the mouth; loreal region very oblique; no canthus rostralis; ; interorbital region twice the breadth of the upper eyelid ; tympanum distmet, $\frac{1}{2}$ or nemly equal to the diameter of eye. 'Jongue typical for the genus. Vomero-palatine teeth in a long chevron-shaped transverse series, interrupted in the middle, and extending just beyond the choame. Fingers moderate, dilated into large triangular discs, that on the third finger being $\frac{2}{3}$ the diameter of the eye; first finger considerably shorter than second, with only slightly expanded dise; hand with flat inmer and outer metacarpal tubercles coalescing medianly. Toes rathershort, expanded into triangular dises, that on the fourth toe being. about $\frac{1}{2}$ the diameter of the eye, that on the fifth toe small. 'Loes free *. A flat elongated inner metatarsal tubercle, rather poorly rleveloper?.

[^3]'Tibio-tarsal joint of alpressed leg reaches as far as the eye.
Upper parts smooth with a prominent little tubercle on each side overlying the expander ends of the diapophyses of the sacral vertebre. Posterior parts of belly, sides, and of thighs near the vent, granulate.

Colonr : Ground-colour dirty white ; dorsal surface blotched or spotted with dull magenta, darkest on head and snout; the tubercle on sacral region surrounded by dark horseshoe-shaped blotch; a dark line from eye, throngh tympanum, along side nearly to a point reached hy tibio-femoral joint of adpressed leg.

Length from rent to snout 30 mm .
Types in the Transvaal Museum, Cat. Rept. Nos. 1265 to 1271 ; No. 1269 presenterl to the British Museum. Origin, Ambatoharanana, forest of Central East Marłagascar, 1911.

The terminal phalanx is $Y$-shaped ; in $P$. notosticta it is broadly Y-shaped ; and in $P$. inguinalis it is also Y-shaped. So I think, in the diagnosis of the genus, this bone should be described as Y-shaped or broadly Y-shaperl, rather than as T-shaped as in the Brit. Mus. Cat. The internal structure of this species was examined: it is typical for the gellus.
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[^0]:    * The Transval Musemm has an adult female specimen of I. bicolor ('T. M. Cat. Rept., No. 1151), with exactly the same number of rentrals and subcaudals as the type; the specimen is, however, remarkable in that the third and fourth upper labials are fused into one large stale which alone enters the eye ; in colour it is slateblue above, head and neek lighter; from Rechtuit, Waterberg District, Transraal.

[^1]:    * In I. bicolor the quadrate differs somewhat in shape and is not as large as in I. transualensis: further, the visible part of the squamosal is seen as a curved horn-shaped process extending a considerable distance across the supratemporal region (vide text-fig. 1).

[^2]:    * Vide also (3).

[^3]:    * In 1913 (1.c.) we statel that the boes had trace of webbing at the base. Wre wrongly interpreted the integument which is usually present in a more or less developed form at the base of webless toes in many frigg as a molimentary webling.

